Program Name	EPAct 2005, 2606 Wind/Hydro Feasibility Study
Date and Time of Meeting:	Jan 10, 2008 1:00 – 3:00 Mountain
Place:	Conference Call Minutes
Subject of Meeting:	WHFS Preliminary Data Analysis review /
	discussion
Meeting Leader:	Mike Radecki
Reporting (Minutes):	Mike Radecki



Minutes-January 10, 2008

To access the conference call dial 877-643-6951 then enter the pass code 87705226#

$\textbf{Wind/Hydro Feasibility Website:} \ \ \texttt{http://www.wapa.gov/ugp/powermarketing/default.htm}$

Agendas

No.	Item Description	Lead	Time
1.	Introductions / Attendance	Mike	
	Jim Haigh, _X_Jody Farhat,Trevor McDonald,Karl Wunderlich,		
	Paulette Schaeffer, _X_Darin Larson,Scott Doig,Brian Parsons,Mike Costanti, _X_Tom Weaver,Warren Mackey, _X_Pat Spears,		
	_X_Vic Simmons, _X_Mike Radecki,Mark Messerli,Jim Haigh,		
	_X_Walter White Tail Feather, _X_Steve Wegman, _X_Matt Schuerger,		
	_X_Dave Rich, Mike McDowell, _X_Rick Hunt, _X_Bob Rusch, _X_Doug Hellekson, _X_John Richards, _X_Bob Gough, Ed Weber		
	Margaret Bad Warrior, Bill Schumacher, _X_ Kim Massey		
	X Roy McAllister, _X_ Tom Wind, Roger Schiffman		
	Roger Freeman, _X_ Brad Nickell, _X_ Shawn Micken,		
2.	x_Will Conkling Review Bob Gough 12/7/07 Comments	Mike	20 min
3.	Overview PROMOD	New Energy	45 min
J.	Basic functions	New Energy	43 11111
	• Assumptions		
	• Information sources		
4.	WHFS Historical Data Analysis review/ discussion	Kim	15 min
	Update on revised "high" generation year		
5.	Tribal Wind Energy Project Questionnaire summary	Mike	20 min
	• Responses		
	Assumptions for "non-responsive" Tribes		
6.	Wrap-up	Mike	10 Min
	Discussion review		
	Action items		
	Next meeting / conference call		

1. Major Discussions

No.	Discussion
1.	PROMOD – Rick Hunt of NewEnergy provided an overview of PROMOD with emphasis on
	what this software uses as sources of information and how it will be used to support the WHFS.
	Several general questions were asked during the presentation – key questions include:
	How will seams issues be addressed in the modeling
	 How will the sensitivity analysis address carbon issues – wind curtailment and loss of load probability
	• >>>
2.	Historical Analysis Update – Kim Massey reviewed the updated historical analysis information

Program Name	EPAct 2005, 2606 Wind/Hydro Feasibility Study
Date and Time of Meeting:	Jan 10, 2008 1:00 – 3:00 Mountain
Place:	Conference Call Minutes
Subject of Meeting:	WHFS Preliminary Data Analysis review /
	discussion
Meeting Leader:	Mike Radecki
Reporting (Minutes):	Mike Radecki



Highlight of missing data from 1997. We originally did not use 1997 due to missing load and generation information from the Western portion of the system. Further analysis revealed this represents less than 2% of total generation and this would not significantly impact this study. The load data for the three periods chosen demonstrates good consistency in Western load with acceptable deviations (likely weather related). The generation data also depicts consistency in the generation patterns for the periods shown. Discussed the Base, Wet and Dry 30-year scenarios. Of the three scenarios presented, the dry scenario as currently projected depicts a generation scenario that is not reflective of "dry" conditions. The suggested correction to this is to re-run the dry scenario using the last 10 years repeated three times. This approach is consistent with the approach used for the wet scenario and is expected to produce a result that better reflects low runoff conditions. Questions also raised regarding the inclusion of climatology predictions and how this relates to runoff predictions. Also – whether climatology is factored into depletion analysis conducted by the Corps/Bureau of Rec. Response – Dec 7, 2007 Comments submitted by Bob Gough. Mike Radecki provided a brief review of the comments and responses which are located on the project website. Bob Gough acknowledged many of the issues in his comments had been addressed but wanted to ensure ICOUP was "on the record" with regards to identifying that ICOUP

with emphasis on the addition of the 1997 "high generation" year. Key discussion items include:

tribes to be "locked in" to some lesser development potential. Discussed the project questionnaire and that the reason for having near term and long term plans in the questionnaire was to identify "ultimate" build out potential.

wind development plans had changed from earlier visions and that he did not want the

- 4. Tribal Wind Project Questionnaire Summary Mike reviewed the questionnaire summary. Specifically, that we believe we've adequately generalized information provided to preclude identifying any proprietary or confidential information.
- 5. 6.

2. Action Items:

7.

Assignee	Description/Status	Date to be Completed
Rick Hunt	How carbon will be addressed in modeling	Update at next call
Kim Massey	Review climatology information discussed by Bob Gough	Update during next call
Pat Spears	ICOUP Project Development Plans	January 11, 2008

Program Name	EPAct 2005, 2606 Wind/Hydro Feasibility Study
Date and Time of Meeting:	Jan 10, 2008 1:00 – 3:00 Mountain
Place:	Conference Call Minutes
Subject of Meeting:	WHFS Preliminary Data Analysis review /
	discussion
Meeting Leader:	Mike Radecki
Reporting (Minutes):	Mike Radecki



Walter White	Amendment to Fort Peck questionnaire	ASAP
Tail Feather		
Bob Gough	Forward climatology references to the group	ASAP

3. Parking Lot:

Task Description
Jody Farhat posted Kim's presentation on the Corps ftp site at the following link.
ftp://ftp.usace.army.mil/pub/
Once there, navigate to the "nwd" and then the "Wind-Hydro Study" sub-directories (folders). If it asks for a userid and password, use "anonymous" and either "guest" or your email address.
The file will only stay posted on the ftp site for a week or 10 days, so
folks interested in getting it should do so asap

Next Meeting /Call:

February 7, 2008 – 1:00 to 3:00 Mountain